UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN DIVISION)

CHRISTINA CASTILLO COMER,	
Plaintiff,)
v.)
ROBERT SCOTT, Commissioner, et al,) CA No. 1:08CV00511-LY
Defendants.)

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF MATERIAL UNDISPUTED FACTS

Plaintiff Christina Comer, by counsel, hereby moves this Court for leave to supplement her Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in support of her motion for summary judgment with one additional undisputed material fact.

The grounds for this motion are as follows:

- 1. At the December 17, 2008 hearing on the parties' respective motions for summary judgment, Defendant implied that creationism was or might be a subject under consideration by the State Board of Education when Defendant fired Plaintiff Christina Comer for forwarding an email announcing a lecture about creationism. See, e.g., Hearing Tr. 21:13-17 ("...if it's a curriculum matter that's debated before the State Board of Education, and the State Board of Education is going to have to make a decision on it..."); Hearing Tr. 25:11-12 ("...taking a position on an issue that the State Board has to decide.)
- 2. The one undisputed fact that Plaintiff wishes to add to her Statement of Undisputed Material Facts is:
 - 17. Creationism was not under consideration by the State Board of Education on October 26, 2007, when Plaintiff Comer forwarded the email at issue in this case, or at any

time thereafter. See "Archived Schedules and Agendas" (www.tea.state.tx.us/sboe) of each of the seven meetings of the various committees of the State Board of Education from November 14-16, 2007 (the first such meeting after Comer forwarded the email) to September 2008, Ex. Q.

A copy of Plaintiff's Supplement to Statement Of Undisputed Material Facts, and of the transcript of the December 17, 2008 motions hearing, is submitted herewith.

3. Accordingly, to the extent Defendant is arguing that creationism actually was considered by the Board when or after Plaintiff forwarded the email in question, that argument is factually unfounded. To the extent Defendant is arguing that creationism might be considered by the Board in the future, that argument is constitutionally wrong pursuant to Edwards v. Aguillard, 482 U.S. 578 (1987), which precludes such consideration.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff Christina Comer requests that this Court grant her leave to supplement her Statement of Undisputed Material Facts.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/</u>	<u>/s/</u>
Judith W. Bagley	Douglas B. Mishkin (admitted pro hac vice)
Patton Boggs LLP	John L. Oberdorfer (admitted pro hac vice)
2001 Ross Avenue	Pamela S. Richardson (admitted pro hac vice)
Suite 3000	Patton Boggs LLP
Dallas, TX 75201	2550 M Street, N.W.
214-758-1500	Washington, DC 20037
	202-457-6000
	Counsel for Plaintiff

December 24, 2008

RULE CV-7(h) CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that I attempted without success to reach Defendant's counsel, James Todd, on Wednesday, December 24, 2008 by telephone and by email. In my email I attached this motion, the proposed Supplement, Exhibit Q and the transcript of the motions hearing, and explained that I

was calling him pursuant to Rule CV-7(h). I also gave Mr. Todd phone numbers where he can reach me until January 5, 2009, when I will return to my office, should he wish to discuss this motion or an accommodation of his deadline for responding.

_____<u>/s/</u> Douglas B. Mishkin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 24th day of December, 2008, I served the foregoing Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Supplement Plaintiff's Statement of Undisputed Material Facts, and a copy of the proposed Supplement to Statement of Undisputed Material Facts, via email and the Court's electronic system to Defendants' counsel, as follows:

James C. Todd
Texas Bar No. 20094700
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
General Litigation Division-019
P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, TX 78711
Telephone: (512) 463-2120
Facsimile: (512) 320-0667
jim.todd@oag.state.tx.us

Attorney for Defendants Texas Education Agency and Robert C. Scott, in his individual capacity as Commissioner of the Texas Education Agency

/s/		
Douglas B. Mishkin	,	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN DIVISION)

)
)
) CA No. 1:08CV00511-LY
)

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENT TO STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS

17. Creationism was not under consideration by the State Board of Education on October 26, 2007 when Plaintiff Comer forwarded the email at issue in this case, or at any time thereafter. See "Archived Schedules and Agendas" (www.tea.state.tx.us/sboe) of each of the seven meetings of the various committees of the State Board of Education from November 14-16, 2007 (the first such meeting after Comer forwarded the email) to September 2008, Ex. Q.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/	/s/
Judith W. Bagley	Douglas B. Mishkin (admitted pro hac vice)
Patton Boggs LLP	John L. Oberdorfer (admitted pro hac vice)
2001 Ross Avenue	Pamela S. Richardson (admitted pro hac vice)
Suite 3000	Patton Boggs LLP
Dallas, TX 75201	2550 M Street, N.W.
214-758-1500	Washington, DC 20037
	202-457-6000

December 24, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN DIVISION)

CHRISTINA CASTILLO COMER,)
Plaintiff,))
v.))
ROBERT SCOTT, Commissioner, et al,) CA No. 1:08CV00511-LY
Defendants.)))
on.) DED
OR.	DER
Upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for l	Leave to Supplement Plaintiff's Statement of
Undisputed Material Facts,, it is, by the	ne Court, this, day of,,
hereby	
ORDERED, that Plaintiff be and is hereby gr	anted leave to file the Supplement to Plaintiff
Statement of Undisputed Material Facts; and it is furt	her,
ORDERED, that the Supplement to Plaintiff	's Statement of Undisputed Material Facts
submitted with Plaintiff's motion be and is deemed fi	ed as of the date of this Order; and it is
further,	
ORDERED, that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave	e to Supplement Plaintiff's Statement of
Undisputed Material Facts be and is GRANTED.	
	United States District Judge