IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAMMY KITZMILLER; BRYAN AND)
CHRISTY REHM; DEBORAH)
FENIMORE AND JOEL LIEB; STEVEN)
STOUGH; BETH EVELAND; CYNTHIA)
SNEATH; JULIE SMITH; AND)
ARALENE ("BARRIE") D. AND)
FREDERICK B. CALLAHAN,)
,)
Plaintiffs,)
,) Civil Action No. 04-CV-2688
v.)
) Hon. John E. Jones, III
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT;)
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT)
BOARD OF DIRECTORS,)
,)
Defendants,)
)
MICHAEL AND SHEREE HIED;)
RAYMOND AND CYNTHIA)
MUMMERT; AND JAMES AND)
MARTHA CASHMAN,)
)
Applicants for)
Intervention.)

APPLICATION TO INTERVENE AS DEFENDANTS

Michael and Sheree Hied, Raymond and Cynthia Mummert, and James and Martha Cashman (the "Applicants") are parents of children who attend schools in the Dover Area School District. Michael and Sheree Hied have a daughter in ninth grade. Raymond and Cynthia Mummert have a son in ninth grade, and a daughter in fifth grade. James and Martha Cashman have a son in eighth grade and a daughter in seventh grade.

For the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum of law, which is incorporated by reference herein, the Applicants hereby make this timely application under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24 to intervene in this lawsuit. The Applicants seek to intervene because they have a substantial interest – *i.e.*, their First Amendment right of access to information and ideas in an academic setting – that is threatened by this lawsuit. The Applicants' First Amendment rights are not currently represented adequately by any of the existing parties. As discussed in the accompanying memorandum of law, the Applicants meet all of the requirements for intervention, and their application should be granted. Pursuant to Rule 24(c), a copy of the pleading that Applicants seek to file if this application is granted is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

WHEREFORE, the Applicants respectfully request that this Court grant their Application to Intervene as Defendants.

Dated: January 17, 2005 Respectfully submitted,

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

By: /s/

John B. Dempsey (PA 88017)
One Logan Square
18th and Cherry Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996
(215)988-2700
(215)988-2757 (fax)

Of Counsel

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Alfred W. Putnam, Jr. (PA 28621) Jason P. Gosselin (PA 76382) Stephen A. Serfass (PA 79376) One Logan Square 18th and Cherry Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996 john.dempsey@dbr.com

THE RUTHERFORD INSTITUTE John W. Whitehead Douglas McKusick P.O. Box 7482 Charlottesville, VA 22906 (434)978-3888

For Michael and Sheree Hied, Raymond and Cynthia Mummert, and James and Martha Cashman

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAMMY KITZMILLER; BRYAN AND)	
CHRISTY REHM; DEBORAH)	
FENIMORE AND JOEL LIEB; STEVEN)	
STOUGH; BETH EVELAND; CYNTHIA)	
SNEATH; JULIE SMITH; AND)	
ARALENE ("BARRIE") D. AND)	
FREDERICK B. CALLAHAN,)	
,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
,)	Civil Action No. 04-2688
V.)	
)	Hon. John E. Jones, III
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT;)	,
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT)	
BOARD OF DIRECTORS,)	
,)	
Defendants,)	
,)	
MICHAEL AND SHEREE HIED;)	
RAYMOND AND CYNTHIA)	
MUMMERT; AND JAMES AND)	
MARTHA CASHMAN,)	
)	
Intervenors.	_)	

ANSWER IN INTERVENTION

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The Intervenors are the parents of children who attend Dover Area

High School and other schools in the Dover Area School District. The

Intervenors seek to participate in this action because, if the Plaintiff's are
successful, the lawsuit will have the effect of censoring the Dover Area

School District Board and shielding all ninth graders from criticism of

Darwin's Theory of Evolution. The Intervenors do not seek to prevent the
teaching of evolution or to require the teaching of some other theory relative
to biological origins. Instead, they seek to ensure that ninth graders will
have full access to information concerning the theory of evolution, including
any gaps for which there is no scientific evidence.

The Dover Area School District Board developed and seeks to implement a curriculum that utilizes a text called *Biology*, which is published by Prentice-Hall and recommended by Dover Area High School's science teachers. In accordance with Pennsylvania's Academic Standards, the ninth grade biology curriculum also includes instruction on Darwin's Theory of Evolution. The Intervenors do not seek to modify or prohibit any aspect of this curriculum. In fact, they desire (and expect) that their children will learn and be tested on Darwin's Theory of Evolution. To the extent that there are gaps or problems with Darwin's Theory of Evolution, however, the

Intervenors seek to ensure that their children will: (a) be made aware of the fact that there are gaps or problems; and (b) have the opportunity to explore such gaps and problems through the use of relevant supplemental textbooks in the school's library or elsewhere. The Dover Area High School curriculum and policies, which includes the October 18, 2004 resolution that is the subject of this lawsuit, ensures such access. The Plaintiffs' lawsuit threatens such access. For that reason, the Intervenors seek to intervene as Defendants in this matter to protect their Constitutional rights.

II. INTERVENOR PARTIES

- a. Michael and Sheree Hied are residents of Dover, Pennsylvania.

 They are the parents of a ninth grade student at Dover High School.
- b. Raymond and Cynthia Mummert are residents of Dover,

 Pennsylvania. They are the parents of a ninth grade student at Dover High

 School and a fifth grade student who plans to attend Dover High School.
- c. James and Martha Cashman are residents of Dover,

 Pennsylvania. They are the parents an eighth grade student and a seventh
 grade student in the Dover Area School District, both of whom intend to
 attend Dover High School.

III. RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATIONS

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.

Parties

3. - 11. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraphs 3 - 11.

Background

Science and Scientific Theory of Biological Evolution

- 12. Admitted.
- 13. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that the term "theory" has a distinct meaning. A theory is a plausible or acceptable general principle or body of principles offered to explain a phenomenon. It is denied that the term "theory" is intended to suggest an absence of doubt. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments set forth in paragraph 13.
- 14. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 14.

Creationism and the Concept of Intelligent Design

- 15. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that the scientific theory of evolution has been criticized, and that some of this criticism may have come from "persons who disagree with it on religious grounds." To the extent that criticism of biological evolution based on religious grounds exists, it is denied that such a fact constitutes a basis for prohibiting all criticism of biological evolution at Dover Area High School.
- 16. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 16. By way of further response, to the extent that some opponents of the theory of biological evolution may have "attempted to forbid, limit, or otherwise undermine the teaching of the scientific theory of biological evolution in public schools," it is denied that such a fact constitutes a basis for prohibiting all criticism of biological evolution at Dover Area High School. It is also denied that Dover High School's biology curriculum forbids, limits, or undermines the teaching of evolution.
- 17. The averments set forth in paragraph 17 constitute conclusions of law, to which no response is required.
- 18. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 18.

- 19. Denied. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to whether there is one uniformly accepted definition of "intelligent design." By way of further response, the supplemental textbook, *Of Pandas and People*, is a writing that speaks for itself.
- 20. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that the "existence of a creator is a central tenet of traditional theistic religions." It is denied that this fact makes intelligent design inherently religious.
- 21. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 21.
- 22. The averments set forth in paragraph 22 constitute conclusions of law, to which no response is required.
- 23. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 23.
- 24. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that the textbook *Of Pandas and People* was first published in 1989, and that a second edition was published in 1993. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments set forth in paragraph 24.

25. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 25.

Dover Area School District's Science Curriculum

- 26. Admitted.
- 27. Admitted.
- 28. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 28.
- 29. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 29.
- 30. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 30.
- 31. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 31.
- 32. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that multiple copies of *Of Pandas and People* were made available to Dover High School students as a result of an anonymous donation. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments set forth in paragraph 32.
 - 33. Admitted.

- 34. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 34.
 - 35. Admitted.
- 36. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 36.
- 37. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 37.
- 38. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 38.
- 39. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 39.
 - 40. Admitted.
- 41. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 41.
 - 42. Admitted.
- 43. Admitted in part; denied in part. It is admitted that the Biology Curriculum posted on the Dover Area School District's web site contains the statements alleged in paragraph 43. To the extent that this paragraph alleges that the teaching of Intelligent Design is part of the Biology Curriculum, these averments are denied.

- 44. Admitted.
- Area High School biology curriculum, as Intervenors understand it, requires that students be made aware of the fact that the theory of biological evolution has gaps and problems. It is denied that the curriculum requires the teaching of intelligent design. Instead, the curriculum merely permits students who desire to learn more about the gaps and problems with the theory of biological evolution to do so through the use of donated supplemental textbooks kept in the school library.
- 46. Intervenors are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments set forth in paragraph 46.
- 47. Denied. By way of further response, it is the Plaintiffs who seek to remove supplemental textbooks from the school library and to shield students from the fact that there are gaps in the theory of biological evolution that would "do nothing to improve, and much to harm, science education in the school."
- 48. Denied. By way of further response, Intervenors and their children will suffer harm if the Plaintiffs are successful in censoring the Dover Area School Board and removing books from the school library.

Count I

Alleged Violation of Establishment Clause of First Amendment of the Constitution of The United States

49. – 54. Denied.

Count II

Alleged Violations of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Art. I, Sec. 3; Art III, Secs. 15 & 29

55. – 56. Denied.

WHEREFORE, Intervenors respectfully request that Plaintiffs' Complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 1

Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 2

The relief sought by the Plaintiffs – the limitation of access to books and the prohibition of criticism of the theory of biological evolution – threaten Intervenors' First Amendment right to have full access to the curriculum established by the Dover Area School Board.

Dated:	Respectfully submitted
--------	------------------------

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

By:

John B. Dempsey (PA 88017)
One Logan Square
18th and Cherry Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996
(215)988-2700
(215)988-2757 (fax)
john.dempsey@dbr.com

Of Counsel

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Alfred W. Putnam, Jr. (PA 28621) Jason P. Gosselin (PA 76382) Stephen A. Serfass (PA 79376) One Logan Square 18th and Cherry Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996

THE RUTHERFORD INSTITUTE John W. Whitehead Douglas McKusick P.O. Box 7482 Charlottesville, VA 22906 (434)978-3888

For Michael and Sheree Hied, Raymond and Cynthia Mummert, and James and Martha Cashman

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAMMY KITZMILLER; BRYAN AND	
CHRISTY REHM; DEBORAH)
FENIMORE AND JOEL LIEB; STEVEN)
STOUGH; BETH EVELAND; CYNTHIA)
SNEATH; JULIE SMITH; AND)
ARALENE ("BARRIE") D. AND)
FREDERICK B. CALLAHAN,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) Civil Action No. 04-CV-2688
V.)
) Hon. John E. Jones, III
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT;)
DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT)
BOARD OF DIRECTORS,	
)
Defendants,)
)
MICHAEL AND SHEREE HIED;)
RAYMOND AND CYNTHIA)
MUMMERT; AND JAMES AND)
MARTHA CASHMAN,)
)
Applicants for)
Intervention.)

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

Upon consideration of the Application of Michael and Sheree Hied,
Raymond and Cynthia Mummert, and James and Martha Cashman to
intervene as Defendants in this action, it is hereby ORDERED and
DECREED that the Applicant's application is GRANTED. The Applicants
shall be file an Answer in Intervention within seven (7) days from the entry
of this order, and may participate in this action as parties.

2