FILED

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

REC'D

DEC 132010 FILING WINDOW DEC 2 1 2010

JOHN A CLARKE, CLERK

### SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

# **CENTRAL DIVISION**

AMERICAN FREEDOM ALLIANCE, CASE NO. BC423687

[Hon Terry A Green Dept 14]

Plaintiff,

CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER

FOUNDATION, et al.,

٧.

Defendants.

[Hon. Terry A. Green, Dept. 14]

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING VIDEO TAPE RECORDING OF DEPOSITIONS OF DISCOVERY INSTITUTE INDIVIDUALS

DATE OF FILING OF ORIGINAL

COMPLAINT:

October 14, 2009

TRIAL DATE:

June 13, 2011

12/27/18

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

#### Recitals

- a. Defendant California Science Center Foundation (the "Foundation") has sought the deposition of certain individuals in Washington State associated with the Discovery Institute ("DI"). Their names are John West, Robert Crowther, and Casey Luskin (the "DI Deponents").
- b. The DI Deponents agreed to appear and provide deposition testimony on or about December 15 and 16, 2010.
- c. The Foundation issued Notices of Deposition for the DI Deponents, indicating that it may record the depositions by video.
- d. The DI Deponents object to the recording by video due to their concerns that such video may be given to and misused by opponents of Discovery Institute's work outside this litigation.
- e. Cal.Code Civ. P. §2025, et seq, Cal.Code Civ. P. §2030.010(c) and Rule 26(c) of the Washington Superior Court Civil Rules provide for and support issuing a protective order protecting a witness's privacy in certain circumstances.
- f. Based upon a request from the DI's counsel, the parties hereby stipulate to this proposed order to permit depositions to proceed more expeditiously and with less expense by, among other things, allowing the DI Deponents to be examined without obtaining commissions and engaging in motion practice in Washington State.
- 1. <u>Use of Video Recordings of Depositions.</u> Neither the parties to this action nor their attorneys shall post any video recordings of the depositions of DI deponents in this action ("Video Recordings") on any web page or internet site, and the Video Recordings will not be transmitted by or duplicated by any party to this litigation or their attorneys for any purpose other than for the prosecution and/or defense of this litigation and must not be disclosed or distributed to any person except as follows:
- a. Relevant excerpts of the Video Recordings may be used at court proceedings in this action or trial provided they are marked as "confidential" and sealed from public disclosure.

	b.	The Court may order further use of the Video Recordings, in court
proceedings,	at trial,	or otherwise, after a hearing on a properly noticed motion that may be brought
by any party	. The Dl	deponents must be given a reasonable opportunity to respond to any such
noticed moti	on. Any	party bringing a motion to require or allow further use of the Video Recordings
must first red	quest suc	h release from the subject DI Deponent in writing including suggestions as to
how the DI d	ieponent	's privacy will be protected in the context of the requested order.

- c. After conclusion of the case, a DI Deponent may use or authorize use or release of some or all of the Video Recording of his deposition. Authorization must be in writing to be effective.
- 2. Protecting Video Recordings. The party or attorney ordering the Video Recording of a DI Deponent must provide a copy of this order to the Videographer. The Videographer must digitally mark each copy of each Video Recording that it produces with a digital watermark or other marking device to uniquely identify the party or attorney to whom the copy of the Video Recording was sent.
- 3. Return and Destruction of Video. The parties intend that within 30 days after this case has concluded and all appeal periods have expired ("Destruction Deadline"), only the DI Deponents will possess copies of the Video Recordings of their Depositions and all originals and other copies, however stored, in the parties' or their counsels' possession will be destroyed. Accordingly, by the Destruction Deadline the following must be performed:
- a. the Party or Attorney(s) causing the deposition of the DI Deponents to be video recorded must request and confirm that the videographer destroys the original video recording and all copies and digitally stored versions in the videographer's possession and provides contact and copy identifier information to counsel for the DI Deponents for anyone who acquired copies of the Video Recording from the Videographer.
- b. all Parties and Attorneys obtaining duplicates or copies of any Video

  Recordings must ensure that the copies obtained and any duplicates thereof are destroyed, except to
  the extent held by the DI Deponents.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

- 4. <u>Defense of DI Deponent's Privacy</u>. If at any time before the Destruction Deadline, any copy, version or excerpt of any DI Deposition is released, posted on-line, or distributed in violation of this order, the party or attorney responsible for the release must take all legal action reasonably necessary to secure the elimination and destruction of all copies or portions thereof improperly released, posted or distributed.
- 5. <u>No Limitation on Transcripts.</u> This Order shall have no application at all to written transcripts of depositions of the DI Deponents, or any transcript—video, written, or otherwise—relating to other depositions in this matter.
- 6. <u>Inadvertent Disclosure.</u> If any copy or excerpt of a Video Recording is disclosed to any person other than in the manner authorized by this Order, the party responsible for the disclosure must seasonably bring all pertinent facts relating to such disclosure to the attention of counsel for the Dİ Deponents and, without prejudice to any other rights and remedies of the DI Deponents, make every reasonable effort to prevent further disclosure by it or by the person who was the recipient of such material.
- 7. Enforcement. If any party causes or allows any copy or excerpt of a Video Recording to be disclosed in violation of this Order, then in addition to any other relief the Court may deem just and equitable, the Video Recording, including both its video and audio tracts shall be deemed inadmissible for any purpose in this case. The Court shall order an award of the reasonable attorneys' fees to the prevailing party or the DI deponent who prevails on a motion for violation of this order.
- 8. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction.</u> All persons subject to the terms of this Order agree that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over them for the purpose of enforcing this Order.

ENTRY OF THE FOREGOING ORDER is hereby jointly requested. 1 2 Dated this 10 day of 1 canhe 2010. 3 William J. Becker Jr. 8BN 134545 Attorney for Plaintiff, AMERICAN 4 FREEDOM ALLIANCE 5 6 Dated this / U day of / scarlo-2010. 7 Kyle D. Netterfield, WSBA 27101 Attorney for DISCOVERY 8 **INSTITUTE** 9 10 Dated this 70 day of Marv 1,2010. 11 James L. Zelenay, SBN 237339 Attorney for Defendants, 12 CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER 13 FOUNDATION & JEFFREY RUDOLPH, in his capacity as President of the 14 CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER FOUNDATION 15 16 Dated this to day of 17 Allan S. Ono, SBN 130763 18 Attorney for Defendant, CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER 19 & JEFFREY RUDOLPH, in his capacity as President and CEO of the CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

# [PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Joint Motion of the parties herein. The Court finds that good cause exists for this agreed Order to preserve and maintain privacy of the individuals of the Discovery Institute whom the California Science Center Foundation seeks to depose, and to facilitate efficiency for taking the depositions of these individuals.

Entered this 1 day of 20

Hon. Terry A. Green, Dept. 14

12/27/10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

I, Hannah Kim, declare as follows:

I am employed in Los Angeles, California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071. On December 13, 2010, I served the following documents:

#### [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING VIDEO TAPE RECORDING OF DEPOSITIONS OF DISCOVERY INSTITUTE INDIVIDUALS

by placing a copy thereof in an envelope addressed to each of the persons named below at the address shown:

VIA PDF & U.S. MAIL William J. Becker, Jr.

The Becker Law Firm 11500 Olympic Blvd, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90064 Tel: (310) 636-1018

e-mail: bbeckerlaw@gmail.com

Fax: (310) 765-6328

VIA PDF & U.S. MAIL

Allan S. Ono Deputy Attorney General Natural Resources Law Section

Office of the Attorney General 300 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor, North Tower

Los Angeles, CA 90013 Fax: (213) 897-2802

e-mail: allan.ono@doj.ca.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff American Freedom Alliance

Counsel for Defendants California Science Center and Jeffrey Rudolph, in his official capacity as President and CEO of the California

Science Center

**VIA PERSONAL SERVICE** 

Richard W. Stevens 1225 I Street, N.W. Suite 1290

Washington, DC 20005

Tel: (202) 842-0300 Fax: (413) 383-2944

e-mail: legalriter@cox.net

VIA PDF & U.S. MAIL

Kyle D. Netterfield Ellis, Li & McKinstry PLLC

2025 First Avenue, Penthouse A

Seattle, WA 98121-3125

Tel: 206.682.0565

e-mail: knetterfield@elmlaw.com

Counsel for Discovery Institute

Counsel for Plaintiff American Freedom Alliance

 $\times$ 

BY MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above, on the above-mentioned date. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

		•		
1 2	BY FACSIMILE: From mentioned date, I served	m facsimile machine telephone number (213) 229-7520, on the abovea full and complete copy of the above-referenced document[s] by the person[s] at the number[s] indicated.		
3 4	BY PDF FORMAT:			
	_			
5 6	person[s] named at the ad	VICE: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed to each dress[es] shown and by personally handing a true and correct copy of ments at 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071.		
7 8 9	above, on the above-meni processing correspondence placed for collection at de	L: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated cloned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and see for delivery by Next Day Mail. Pursuant to that practice, envelopes esignated locations during designated hours with a fully completed divery charges are paid by Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, that same day in		
10				
11	11			
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the foregoing document was printed on recycled paper. This Declaration of Service was executed by me on December 13, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.			
13	13	(		
14 15		Hur		
16	16	Hannah H. Kim		
17				
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25				
26				
27				
28				

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP