paid

Deputy

Defendants California Science Center Foundation and Jeffrey Rudolph, as President of the California Science Center Foundation and in his individual capacity, ("Defendants") by and through their attorney of record, answer the unverified Third Amended Complaint of Plaintiff American Freedom Alliance as follows:

Pursuant to section 431.30(d) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, Defendants deny generally and specifically each and every allegation and cause of action in Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. Defendants further deny that Plaintiff has sustained any injury, damage or loss by reason of any act or omission of the Defendants, or at all, and further deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief against or affecting any of the Defendants.

In addition, Defendants allege the following affirmative defenses:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim - All Causes of Action)

The Third Amended Complaint and each and every cause of action therein fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted or facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands – All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff is barred in equity from claiming or recovering any relief set forth in the Third

Amended Complaint and each and every cause of action alleged therein because of its conduct under the doctrine of unclean hands.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver – All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff is barred from claiming or recovering any relief set forth in the Third Amended

Complaint and each and every cause of action alleged therein on the grounds that Plaintiff's conduct
was such that it constituted a waiver.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Equitable Estoppel – All Causes of Action)

Under the doctrine of equitable estoppel, Plaintiff is barred from claiming or recovering any relief set forth in the Third Amended Complaint and each and every causes of action alleged therein

25

26

27

by reason of acts, omissions, representations and courses of conduct by Plaintiff by which Defendants were led to rely to their detriment.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate - All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff is barred from claiming or recovering any relief set forth in the Third Amended Complaint and each and every causes of action alleged therein because Plaintiff failed to exercise reasonable care and diligence to mitigate any alleged damages, and has further unreasonably delayed in the submission of its claims for any alleged losses.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Immunity - All Causes of Action Asserted Against Defendant Rudolph)

To the extent liability attaches to defendant Jeffrey Rudolph based upon any finding that he was operating as a "state actor," Plaintiff is barred from claiming or recovering any relief set forth in the Third Amended Complaint and each and every causes of action alleged therein against Defendant Rudolph on the grounds that Defendant Rudolph is immune from liability pursuant to Section 821 of the Government Code and the doctrine of qualified immunity.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Breach by Plaintiff - Breach of Contract Claim)

Plaintiff is barred from claiming or recovering any relief on the grounds that Plaintiff breached their contract, if any, with Defendants and that by reason of that breach of contract, Defendants have been excused from performing any duties or obligations set forth in said contract.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure of Consideration - Breach of Contract Claim)

Plaintiff is barred from claiming or recovering any relief based on Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract on the grounds of failure of consideration.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Adequate Legal Remedy-Injunctive Relief)

Plaintiff is barred from claiming or obtaining injunctive relief on the grounds that adequate legal remedies exist.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray that Plaintiff take nothing by the Third Amended Complaint, and that Defendants be awarded judgment in this action, attorney fees in an amount to be determined, and costs of suit incurred herein.

DATED: November 8, 2010

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Attorneys for Defendants,

CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER FOUNDATION and JEFFREY RUDOLPH, individually and in his official capacity as President of the California Science Center Foundation

100962670_4.DOC

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Janet Faragher, declare as follows:

I am employed in Los Angeles, California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071. On November 8, 2010, I served the following documents:

DEFENDANTS CALIFORNIA SCIENCE CENTER FOUNDATION'S AND JEFFREY RUDOLPH'S (AS PRESIDENT OF THE FOUNDATION AND IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY) ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF AMERICAN FREEDOM ALLIANCE'S UNVERIFIED THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

by placing a copy thereof in an envelope addressed to each of the persons named below at the address shown:

by placing a copy thereof in an envelope addressed to each of the persons harned below at the address shown.			
William J. Becker, Jr.			Allan S. Ono, Esq.
The Becker Law Firm			Deputy Attorney General
11500 Olympic Blvd, Suite 400			Natural Resources Law Section
Los Angeles, CA 90064			Office of the Attorney General
Tel: (310) 636-1018			300 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor, North Tower
Fax: (310) 765-6328			Los Angeles, CA 90013
e-mail: bbeckerlaw@gmail.com			Fax: (213) 897-2802
			e-mail: <u>allan.ono@doj.ca.gov</u>
Counsel for Plaintiff American Freedom Alliance			Counsel for Defendants California Science Center and Jeffrey Rudolph, in his official capacity as President and CEO of the California Science Center
BY MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above, on the above-mentioned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
BY PDF FORMAT: I caused each such document to be transmitted by PDF format, to the parties and email addresses listed above.			
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	(STATE)	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
	(FEDERAL)	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the foregoing document was printed on recycled paper. This Declaration of Service was			

executed by me on November 8, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.